



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

DPM

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,532	08/01/2003	Enrique Saldivar	RM 0001P	2660
7590	12/13/2006			EXAMINER
FUESS & DAVIDENAS				TURK, NEIL N
17258 Amarillo Road				
Ramona, CA 92065			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER



1743

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/632,532	SALDIVAR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Neil Turk	1743	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Neil Turk. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) William Fuess (applicant's representative). (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 11 December 2006

**Time:** 4:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-9

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
Neil Turk  
 Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed changes that could be made to elected claims 1-9 to place the claims in condition for allowance. In particular, independent claims 1, 3, and 7 required a limitation to the essential element of the adhesive on the flexible membrane to avoid slippage of clots. Examiner also pointed out the corrections to the drawings and the specification would be required..

Organization ICIV700 Bldg/Room

RENSSEN

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450  
If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

**Official Business**

**AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER**



卷之三

DEL 26

NIXIE 921 1 USPTO OO 12/22/65  
RETURN TO SENDER  
NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED  
UNABLE TO FORWARD

ପ୍ରକାଶକ ମେଳିକା

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**